

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

|                    |   |                    |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| JAMES E. LOPEZ,    | ) | CASE NO. 8:08CV443 |
|                    | ) |                    |
| Petitioner,        | ) |                    |
|                    | ) |                    |
| v.                 | ) | MEMORANDUM         |
|                    | ) | AND ORDER          |
| STATE OF NEBRASKA, | ) |                    |
|                    | ) |                    |
| Respondent.        | ) |                    |

This matter is before the court on Petitioner's Motion to Appoint Counsel. (Filing No. 7.) "There is neither a constitutional nor statutory right to counsel in habeas proceedings; instead, [appointment] is committed to the discretion of the trial court." [McCall v. Benson](#), [114 F.3d 754, 756 \(8th Cir. 1997\)](#). As a general rule, counsel will not be appointed unless the case is unusually complex or the petitioner's ability to investigate and articulate the claims is unusually impaired or an evidentiary hearing is required. See, e.g., [Morris v. Dormire](#), [217 F.3d 556, 558-59 \(8th Cir. 2000\)](#), *cert. denied*, [531 U.S. 984 \(2000\)](#); [Hoggard v. Purkett](#), [29 F.3d 469, 471 \(8th Cir. 1994\)](#) (citations omitted). See also Rule 8(c) of the [Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#) (requiring appointment of counsel if an evidentiary hearing is warranted.) The court has reviewed the record and finds that there is no need for the appointment of counsel at this time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that: Petitioner's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Filing No. 7) is denied.

Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp  
United States District Judge